

# 15-251: Great Theoretical Ideas In Computer Science

---

## Recitation 6

### Announcements

- Midterm 1 next **Wednesday, October 11!** It will be held in **DH 2315** from **6.30pm to 9.30pm** in place of the writing session. (Note the later end time.)
- We will be holding topical reviews with the venues and times to be confirmed. Watch Piazza for updates!

### Recap of some definitions and facts

- Regular graph
- The tree-nity (the three salient features of a tree)
- Hamiltonian cycle
- The handshake lemma

### “Clearly” Correct

A connected graph with no cycles is a tree. Consider the following claim and its proof.

**Claim:** Any graph with  $n$  vertices and  $n - 1$  edges is a tree.

**Proof:** We prove the claim by induction. The claim is clearly true for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . Now suppose the claim holds for  $n = k$ . We'll prove that it also holds for  $n = k + 1$ . Let  $G$  be a graph with  $k$  vertices and  $k - 1$  edges. By the induction hypothesis,  $G$  is a tree (and therefore clearly connected). Add a new vertex  $v$  to  $G$  by connecting it with any other vertex in  $G$ . So we create a new graph  $G'$  with  $k + 1$  vertices and  $k$  edges. The new vertex we added is clearly a leaf, so it clearly does not create a cycle. Also, since  $G$  was connected,  $G'$  is clearly also connected. A connected graph with no cycles is a tree, so  $G'$  is also a tree. So the claim follows by induction.

Explain why the given proof is incorrect.

### 2 3 Proofs 4 You

Give two proofs (one using induction and another using a degree counting argument) for the following claim: the number of leaves in a tree with  $n \geq 2$  vertices is

$$2 + \sum_{\substack{v \in V \\ \deg(v) \geq 3}} (\deg(v) - 2)$$

### Counting Colors 1, 2, 3, ...

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . A  $k$ -coloring of  $V$  is just a map  $\chi : V \rightarrow C$  where  $C$  is a set of cardinality  $k$ . (Usually the elements of  $C$  are called *colors*. If  $k = 3$  then  $\{\text{red, green, blue}\}$  is a popular choice. If  $k$  is large, we often just call the colors  $1, 2, \dots, k$ .) A  $k$ -coloring is said to be

legal for  $G$  if every edge in  $E$  is *bichromatic*, meaning that its two endpoints have different colors. (I.e., for all  $\{u, v\} \in E$  it is required that  $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$ .) Finally, we say that  $G$  is *k-colorable* if it has a legal  $k$ -coloring.

- (a) Suppose  $G$  has no cycles of length greater than 251. Prove that  $G$  is 251-colorable. Hint: DFS.
- (b) Give an example to show that the above is tight, i.e., find a graph  $G$  with no cycles of length greater than 251 that is not 250-colorable.

### (Extra) Long Walks

Suppose a graph  $G$  has minimum degree  $\delta$  (so the vertex of lowest degree has degree  $\delta$ ). Show that  $G$  contains a path of length (at least)  $\delta$ .

### (Bonus) Graphitti

How many colors do you need to color the vertices of this graph?

