15-251
Great Ideas in
Theoretical Computer Science

Lecture 4:
Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA), Part 2



September 7th, 2017

Closure properties of regular languages

Closed under complementation

Proposition:
Let Σ be some finite alphabet.
If $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is regular, then so is $\overline{L} = \Sigma^* \backslash L$.

Proof:

Closed under union

Theorem:
Let Σ be some finite alphabet.
If $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and $L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ are regular, then so is $L_1 \cup L_2$.

Proof:

The mindset

Step 1: Imagining ourselves as a DFA

Closed under union

Example

$L_1 =$ strings with even number of 1's

$L_2 =$ strings with length divisible by 3.





Closed under union

Input: 101001





Closed under union

Input: 101001

Accept





Closed under union

Main idea:
Construct a DFA that keeps track of both at once.





Closed under union

Main idea:
Construct a DFA that keeps track of both at once.



<u>**Step 2**</u>: Formally defining the DFA

Closed under union

Proof: Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ be a DFA deciding L_1 and $M' = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$ be a DFA deciding L_2. We construct a DFA $M'' = (Q'', \Sigma, \delta'', q_0'', F'')$ that decides $L_1 \cup L_2$, as follows:

More closure properties

Closed under union:

Closed under concatenation:

Closed under star:

super awesome vs regular

What is the relationship between super awesome and regular ?

super awesome vs regular

Theorem:

Can define regular languages recursively as follows:

Closed under concatenation

Theorem:
Let Σ be some finite alphabet.
If $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and $L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ are regular, then so is $L_1 L_2$.

The mindset

Imagine yourself as a DFA.

Rules:

1) Can only scan the input once, from left to right.
2) Can only remember "constant" amount of information.

↓ should not change based on input length

Step 1: Imagining ourselves as a DFA





Given $w \in \Sigma^*$, we need to decide if

$$w = uv \quad \text{for} \quad u \in L_1,\ v \in L_2.$$

Problem: Don't know where u ends, v begins.

When do you stop simulating M_1 and start simulating M_2 ?





Suppose God tells you u ends at w_3.

	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8	w_9	w_{10}
q_0	q_1	q_1	q_3							
			q_0'	q_2'	q_2'	q_2'	q_1'	q_2'	q_2'	q_1'

thread:


M_1
automatic teleportation
M_2
0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1
w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 w_7 w_8 w_9 w_{10} w_{11}
q_0 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2 q_4 q_1 q_3 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2
thread1 q'_0 q'_2 q'_2 q'_2 q'_1 q'_2 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread2 q'_0 q'_1 q'_0 q'_1 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread3 q'_0 q'_1 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread4 q'_0 q'_2


M_1
automatic teleportation
M_2
0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1
w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 w_7 w_8 w_9 w_{10} w_{11}
q_0 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2 q_4 q_1 q_3 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2
thread1 q'_0 q'_2 q'_2 q'_2 q'_1 q'_2 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread2 q'_0 q'_1 q'_0 q'_1 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread3 q'_0 q'_1 q'_2 q'_1 q'_0
thread4 q'_0 q'_2


0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1
w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 w_7 w_8 w_9 w_{10} w_{11}
q_0 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2 q_4 q_1 q_3 q_1 q_1 q_3 q_2
$\subseteq Q'$

Step 2: Formally defining the DFA

$M_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ $M_2 = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$

$Q'' =$

$\delta'' :$

$q_0'' =$

$F'' =$