# More Great Ideas in Theoretical CS Online Algorithms

Anil Ada Ariel Procaccia (this time)

# SKI RENTAL

- You are on a ski vacation; you can buy skis for B or rent for 1/day
- You're very spoiled: You'll go home when it's not sunny
- Rent or buy when B = 5?

 $2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6$ 

What is the complexity of the problem?

15252 Fall 2017

# SKI RENTAL

- Now assume you don't know in advance how many days of sunshine there are
- Every day of sunshine you need to decide whether to rent or buy
- Algorithm: Rent for B days, then buy



### SKI RENTAL

Assume  $B \ge 8$ . How bad can the "rent B days, then buy" algorithm be compared to the optimal solution in the worst case?

- 1.  $ALG(I) = 2 \cdot OPT(I)$ 2.  $ALG(I) = 3 \cdot OPT(I)$ 3.  $ALG(I) = \frac{B}{2} \cdot OPT(I)$
- 4.  $ALG(I) = B \cdot OPT(I)$



# COMPETITIVE RATIO

- For a minimization problem and c > 1, *ALG* is a *c*-competitive algorithm if for every instance *I*,  $ALG(I) \le c \cdot OPT(I)$
- For a maximization problem and c < 1, ALG is a *c*-competitive algorithm if for every instance *I*,  $ALG(I) \ge c \cdot OPT(I)$
- The difference from approximation algorithms is that here ALG is online, whereas OPT(I) is the optimal offline solution

# SKI RENTAL, REVISITED

- Our ski-rental algorithm is 2-competitive
- Renting for B 1 days is  $\left(\frac{2B-1}{B}\right)$ -competitive
- We prove that no online algorithm can do better by constructing an evil adversary



#### SKI RENTAL, REVISITED

- Theorem: No online algorithm for the ski rental problem is  $\alpha$ -competitive for  $\alpha < \frac{2B-1}{P}$
- Proof:
  - Alg is defined by renting for K days and buying on day K + 1
  - $_{\circ}~$  Evil adversary makes it rain on day K+2
  - $K \ge B: OPT(I) = B, ALG(I) = K + B \ge 2B$
  - ∘  $K \le B 2$ : OPT(I) = K + 1,  $ALG(I) = K + B \ge 2K + 2 \blacksquare$

#### SKI RENTAL, REVISITED

Proving lower bounds for online algorithms is much easier than for approximation algorithms!

15252 Fall 2017

- Hard drive holds N pages, memory holds k pages
- When a page of the hard drive is needed, it is brought into the memory
- If it's already in the memory, we have a hit, otherwise we have a miss
- If the memory is full, we may need to evict a page
- Paging algorithm tries to minimize misses





15252 Fall 2017

- Three online paging algorithms (start with 1, ..., k) in memory
- LRU (least recently used)
- FIFO (first in first out): memory works like a queue; evict the page at the head and enqueue the new page
- LIFO (last in first out): memory works like a stack; evict top, push new page

#### EXAMPLE: LIFO



- Theorem: LRU is *k*-competitive
- Proof:
  - We divide the request sequence into phases; phase 1 starts at the first page request; each phase is the longest possible with at most krequests for distinct pages

• Example with k = 3:

4	1	2	1	5	3	4	5	1	2	3
Phase 1				Phase 2				Phase 3		

- Theorem: LRU is *k*-competitive
- Proof (continued):
  - Denote m = # phases, and by  $p_j^i$  the *j*th distinct page in phase *i*
  - Pages  $p_1^i, \dots, p_k^i, p_1^{i+1}$  are all distinct
  - If OPT hasn't missed on pages  $p_2^i, \dots, p_k^i$ , it will miss on  $p_1^{i+1}$ , i.e., it misses at least once for every new phase (including phase 1)  $\Rightarrow$  $OPT \geq m$

- Theorem: LRU is *k*-competitive
- Proof (continued):
  - LRU misses at most once on each distinct page in a phase
  - ∘ Therefore,  $ALG \le km$  ■



- Theorem: FIFO is *k*-competitive
- **Proof:** Essentially the same
- Theorem: No online alg for the paging problem is  $\alpha$ -competitive for  $\alpha < k$



#### • Proof:

• At each step the evil adversary requests the missing page in  $\{1, ..., k+1\} \Rightarrow$  miss every time





#### • Proof:

◦ If OPT evicts a page, it will take at least k requests to miss again ■

