



September 1st, 2017

## Question

If there are **n** pancakes in total (all in different sizes), what is the max number of flips that we would ever have to use to sort them?



 $P_n =$  the number described above What is  $P_n$  ?

## Understanding the question



Number of flips necessary to sort the worst stack of size n.

## Is it always possible to sort the pancakes?

Yes!

#### A sorting strategy (algorithm):

- Move the largest pancake to the bottom.
- Recurse on the other n-I pancakes.

## Playing around with an example

#### Introducing notation:

- represent a pancake with a number from 1 to n.
- represent a stack as a permutation of {1,2,...,n}
   e.g. (5 2 3 4 1)

   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓
   ↓

Let  $X = \min$  number of flips to sort (5 2 3 4 1) What is X ?

X

Need an argument for a lower bound.

A strategy/algorithm for sorting gives us an upper bound.

- $0 \le X ?$   $I \le X ?$   $2 \le X ?$   $3 \le X ?$
- $4 \leq X$ ?

#### **Proposition:** X = 4

**Proof:** We already showed  $X \leq 4$ .

We now show  $X \geq 4$  . The proof is by contradiction.

So suppose we can sort the pancakes using 3 or less flips.

<u>Observation</u>: Right before a pancake is placed at the bottom of the stack, it must be at the top.

Claim: The first flip must put 5 on the bottom of the stack. Proof: If the first flip does not put 5 on the bottom of the stack, then it puts it somewhere in the middle of the stack. After 3 flips, 5 must be placed at the bottom. Using the observation above, 2nd flip must send 5 to the top. Then after 2 flips, we end up with the original stack. But there is no way to sort the original stack in 1 flip. The claim follows.

## **Proposition:** X = 4

## **Proof continued:**

So we know the first flip must be:  $(5\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 1) \rightarrow (1\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 5)$ . In the remaining 2 flips, we must put 4 next to 5. Obviously 5 cannot be touched.

So we can ignore 5 and just consider the stack  $(1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$ .

We need to put 4 at the bottom of this stack in 2 flips.

Again, using the observation stated above,

the next two moves must be:

$$(1 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2) \longrightarrow (4 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2) \longrightarrow (2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 4)$$

This does not lead to a sorted stack,

which is a contradiction since we assumed we could sort the stack in 3 flips.

$$X = 4$$

What does this say about  $P_n$  ?

Pick the one that you think is true:

$$P_n = 4$$

$$P_n \le 4$$

$$P_n \ge 4$$

$$P_5 = 4$$

$$P_5 \le 4$$

None of the above.

Beats me.

$$X = 4$$

What does this say about  $P_n$ ?

 $P_5 = \max_{S} \min_{A} \# \text{ flips when sorting } S \text{ by } A$  $\downarrow \text{ all stacks of size 5}$ 

all stacks: $(5\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 1)\ (5\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 1)\ (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)\ (5\ 4\ 1\ 2\ 3)\ \cdots$ min # flips:4I02

 $P_5 = \max \operatorname{among} \operatorname{these} \operatorname{numbers}$ 

 $P_5 = \min \#$  flips to sort the "hardest" stack

So:  $X = 4 \implies P_5 \ge 4$ 

 $5 \leq P_5 \leq 5$ 

**In fact:** (will not prove)

Find a specific "hard" stack. Show any method must use 5 flips.

Find a generic method that sorts any 5-stack with 5 flips.

Good progress so far:

- we understand the problem better
- we made some interesting observations

Ok what about  $P_n$  for general n?

## $P_n$ for small n

- $P_0 = 0$  $P_1 = 0$  $P_2 = 1$
- $P_3 = 3$

lower bound:

(I 3 2) requires 3 flips.

upper bound:

- bring largest to the bottom in 2 flips
- sort the other 2 in I flip (if needed)

## A general upper bound: "Bring-to-top" alg.

**if** n = I: do nothing

else:

- bring the largest pancake to bottom in 2 flips
- recurse on the remaining n-1 pancakes

## A general upper bound: "Bring-to-top" alg.

if n = 1: do nothing
else if n = 2: sort using at most 1 flip
else:
 - bring the largest pancake to bottom in 2 flips

- recurse on the remaining n-1 pancakes

$$T(n) = \max \#$$
 flips for this algorithm

$$T(1) = 0$$
  

$$T(2) \le 1$$
  

$$T(n) \le 2 + T(n-1) \quad \text{for } n \ge 3$$
  

$$\implies T(n) \le 2n-3 \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$

## A general upper bound: "Bring-to-top" alg.

#### **Theorem:** $P_n \leq 2n-3$ for $n \geq 2$ .

#### **Corollary:** $P_3 \leq 3$ .

## **<u>Corollary</u>**: $P_5 \leq 7$ .

(So this is a loose upper bound, i.e. not tight.)

#### How about a lower bound?

You must argue against <u>all</u> possible strategies.

What is the worst initial stack?

#### **Observation:**

Given an initial stack, suppose pancakes i and j are adjacent.

They will remain adjacent if we never insert the spatula in between them.  $(5\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 1)$ 

## So:

If i and j are adjacent and |i - j| > 1, then we **must** insert the spatula in between them.

## **Definition:**

We call i and j a **bad** pair if

- they are adjacent
- |i j| > 1

#### Lemma (Breaking-apart argument):

A stack with b bad pairs needs at least b flips to be sorted.

## e.g. $(5\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 1)$ requires at least 2 flips.

# In fact, we can conclude it requires at least 3 flips. Why? Bottom pancake and plate can also form a bad pair.

## **Theorem:** $P_n \ge n$ for $n \ge 4$ .

## Proof:

Take cases on the parity of n.

If n is even, the following stack has n bad pairs:

$$(2 \ 4 \ 6 \ \cdots \ n-2 \ n \ 1 \ 3 \ 5 \ \cdots \ n-1)$$

If n is odd, the following stack has n bad pairs:

$$(1 \ 3 \ 5 \ \cdots \ n-2 \ n \ 2 \ 4 \ 6 \ \cdots \ n-1)$$

By the previous lemma, both need n flips to be sorted. So  $P_n \ge n$  for  $n \ge 4$ .

Where did we use the assumption  $n \geq 4$  ?

#### So what were we able to prove about $P_n$ ?

#### **Theorem:** $n \le P_n \le 2n-3$ for $n \ge 4$ .

## Best known bounds for $P_n$

#### Jacob Goodman 1975: what we saw



published under pseudonym Harry Dweighter

#### William Gates and Christos Papadimitriou 1979:





 $\frac{17}{16}n \le P_n \le \frac{5}{3}(n+1)$ 



## **BP**<sub>n</sub>

#### William Gates and Christos Papadimitriou 1979:

Introduced "Burnt pancakes" problem.

$$\frac{3}{2}n - 1 \le BP_n \le 2n + 3$$

#### David Cohen and Manuel Blum 1995:





 $\frac{3}{2}n \le BP_n \le 2n-2$ 

**David Samuel Cohen** (born July 13, 1966), better known as **David X. Cohen**, is an American television writer. He has written for *The Simpsons* and served as the head writer and executive producer of *Futurama*.

Contents [hide]

- 1 Early life
- 2 Writing career
  - 2.1 Futurama
  - 2.2 Name change
- 3 Writing credits
  - 3.1 Futurama
  - 3.2 The Simpsons
  - 3.3 Beavis and Butt-head
- 4 References
- 5 External links

#### Early life [edit]

Cohen was born in New York City. He changed his middle initial around the time *Futurama* debuted due to Writers' Guild policies prohibiting more than one member from having the same name.<sup>[1]</sup> Both of his parents were biologists, and growing up Cohen had always planned to be a scientist, though he also enjoyed writing and drawing cartoons.<sup>[2]</sup>

Cohen graduated from Dwight Morrow High School in Englewood, New Jersey, where he wrote the humor column for the high school paper and was a member of the school's state champion mathematics team.<sup>[3]</sup> From there, Cohen went on to attend Harvard University, graduating with a B.A. in physics, and the University of California, Berkeley, with a M.S. in computer science.<sup>[4]</sup> At Harvard, he wrote for and served as President of the *Harvard Lampoon*.

Cohen's most notable academic publication concerned the theoretical computer science problem of pancake sorting,<sup>[5]</sup> which was also the subject of an academic publication by Bill Gates.<sup>[6]</sup>

#### **David X. Cohen**



Cohen at the 2010 San Diego Comic-Con International.

Born	David Samuel Cohen July 13, 1966 (age 50) New York, New York, United States
Occupation	Television writer
Period	1992-present
Genre	Comedy
Spouse	Patty Cohen
Children	1

**Manuel Blum** (Caracas, 26 April 1938) is a Venezuelan computer scientist who received the Turing Award in 1995 "In recognition of his contributions to the foundations of computational complexity theory and its application to cryptography and program checking".<sup>[2][3][4][5][6][7][8]</sup>

Contents [hide				
1	Educatio	on		
2	Career			
3	Researc	h		

- 4 See also
- 5 References

#### Education [edit]

Blum was educated at MIT, where he received his bachelor's degree and his master's degree in EECS in 1959 and 1961 respectively, and his Ph.D. in mathematics in 1964 supervised by Marvin Minsky.<sup>[1][7]</sup>

#### Career [edit]

He worked as a professor of computer science at the University of California, Berkeley until 1999. In 2002 he was elected to the United States National Academy of Sciences.

He is currently the Bruce Nelson Professor of Computer Science at Carnegie Mellon University, where his wife, Lenore Blum,<sup>[9]</sup> and son, Avrim Blum, are also professors of Computer Science.

#### Research [edit]

In the 60s he developed an axiomatic complexity theory which was independent of concrete machine models. The theory is based on Gödel numberings and the Blum axioms. Even though the theory is not based on any machine model it yields concrete results like the compression theorem, the gap theorem, the honesty theorem and the Blum speedup theorem.

#### Manuel Blum



Manuel Blum (left) with his wife Lenore Blum and their son Avrim Blum, 1973

Born	April 26, 1938 (age 79) Caracas, Venezuela
Residence	Pittsburgh
Fields	Computer Science
Institutions	University of California, Berkeley Carnegie Mellon University
Alma mater	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Thesis	A Machine-Independent Theory of the Complexity of Recursive Functions & (1964)
Doctoral advisor	Marvin Minsky <sup>[1]</sup>
Doctoral	Leonard Adleman
students	Dana Angluin
	C. Eric Bach
	Joan Boyar
	William Evans
	Peter Gemmell
	John Gill, III
	Shafi Caldwaaaar

## Best known bounds for P<sub>n</sub>

## Why study pancake numbers?

Perhaps surprisingly, it has interesting applications.

- In designing efficient networks that are resilient to failures of links.

Google: pancake network

- In biology.

Can think of chromosomes as permutations.

Interested in mutations in which some portion of the chromosome gets flipped.



Simple problems may be hard to solve.

#### Simple problems may have far-reaching applications.

By studying pancakes, you can be a billionaire.



## Analogy with computation

input: initial stack

output: sorted stack

computational problem: (input, output) pairs pancake sorting problem

computational model: specified by the allowed operations on the input.

algorithm: a precise description of how to obtain the output from the input.

**computability**: is it always possible to sort the stack?

complexity: how many flips are needed?