#### **Recitation 8: Boolean Circuits and NP**

#### **News Post**

- No recitation this Friday; expect another large group review session on this week's material!
- Conceptual OH on Saturdays is a great time to review old material as well as gain greater understanding of new topics and ideas!
- If you have any questions about material, logistics, or anything else 251 related make sure to reach out to your mentor!

#### **New Phrases**

• We say a problem is in **NP** if there exists a polynomial time verifier TM V and a constant k > 0 such that for all  $x \in \Sigma^*$ :

if  $x \in L$ , then there exists a certificate u with  $|u| \leq |x|^k$  such that V(x, u) accepts.

if  $x \notin L$ , then for all  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , V(x, u) rejects.

- We say there is a polynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B if there is a polynomial-time computable function f : Σ\* → Σ\* such that x ∈ A if and only if f(x) ∈ B. We write this as A ≤<sup>P</sup><sub>m</sub> B. (We also refer to these reductions as Karp reductions.)
- A problem Y is **NP-hard** if for every problem  $X \in \mathbf{NP}$ ,  $X \leq_m^P Y$ .
- A problem is **NP-complete** if it is both in **NP** and **NP-hard**.
- A Boolean function is one of the form  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ . They can be thought of as *n*-bit truth tables.
- A Boolean circuit with *n*-input variables  $(n \ge 0)$  is a directed acyclic graph where the vertices represent gates and the directed edges represent wires. The circuit has *n* input gates each with in-degree 0 and 1 output gate with out-degree 1. In our standard model we include AND, OR, and NOT gates which have in-degree 2 and correspond to their respective binary functions.
- A family of circuits is an infinite sequence  $C_0, C_1, C_2, \ldots$  where  $C_n$  is a circuit with n input gates
- We say that a family C decides a language L if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_n$  decides  $L_n = L \cap \{0, 1\}^n$

### **New Point of View**

Imagine there existing an untrustworthy, omnipotent (computationally unbounded) **Prover** who likes to make claims about membership in a language L. On the other hand, you are a **Verifier** who can merely compute things that run in polynomial time. You are interested in verifying if a string is in L.

The **Prover** claims to you that a certain  $x \in L$ . In order to convince you, the Prover uses its unlimited computational power to provide a polynomial length (with respect to x) certificate/proof to you. You then use the certificate to verify whether x is truly in L. If  $L \in \mathbf{NP}$  then

- (a) can the **Prover** convince you for every  $x \in L$  that x truly is a member of L?
- (b) can the **Prover** ever fool you into thinking some  $x \in L$  when really  $x \notin L$ ?

Conversely if L is such a language so that **Prover** can always provide you with polynomial length proofs for  $x \in L$ , and is never able to deceive you for  $x \notin L$  then is  $L \in NP$ ?

## **No Privacy**

3COL: Given an undirected graph, can we color the vertices with 3 colors so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color?

Show 3COL is in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NP}}.$ 

# **Natural Circuits**

A language  $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$  is called skinny if there is some constant k > 0 such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $L \cap \{0,1\}^n \leq n^k$ .

Show that any skinny language can be computed by a polynomial size language family.