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## Last Time

Some motivating real-world examples
matching machines and jobs matching professors and courses matching rooms and courses matching students and internships matching kidney donors and patients

## How do you solve a problem like this?

I. Formulate the problem
2. Ask: Is there a trivial algorithm? Find and analyze.
3. Ask: Is there a better algorithm? Find and analyze.

## Bipartite maximum matching problem

Input: A bipartite graph $G=(X, Y, E)$.
Output: A maximum matching in $G$.

## Important Definition: Augmenting paths

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be some matching.
An alternating path with respect to $\mathbf{M}$ is a path in $\mathbf{G}$ such that:

- the edges in the path alternate between being in $\mathbf{M}$ and not being in $\mathbf{M}$


An augmenting path with respect to $\mathbf{M}$ is an alternating path such that:

- the first and last vertices are not matched by M


## Important Definition: Augmenting paths



## Algorithm to find maximum matching

## Theorem:

A matching $\mathbf{M}$ is maximum if and only if there is no augmenting path with respect to M.

## Algorithm to find max matching:

- Start with a single edge as your matching M.
- Repeat until there is no augmenting path w.r.t. M:
- Find an augmenting path with respect to $M$.
- Update $\mathbf{M}$ according to the augmenting path.

OK, but how do you find an augmenting path???

## Finding augmenting paths in bipartite graphs



Finding augmenting paths in bipartite graphs


## Algorithm:

## Running time:

## Important Note

## Theorem:

A matching $\mathbf{M}$ is maximum if and only if there is no augmenting path with respect to $\mathbf{M}$.

This theorem holds for all graphs.
The algorithm works for bipartite graphs.

Hall's Theorem

## Characterization for perfect matchings

Often we are interested in perfect matchings.


An obstruction:

## Characterization for perfect matchings

Often we are interested in perfect matchings.


An obstruction:

## Characterization for perfect matchings

Often we are interested in perfect matchings.
$S=\{1,3,4\}$
$N(S)=\{5,7\}$


An obstruction:

## Characterization for perfect matchings

Is this the only type of obstruction?

## Theorem [Hall's Theorem]:

## Corollary:

An application of Hall's Theorem
Rank: I $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & J & Q & K\end{array}$


Suppose a deck of cards is dealt into 13 piles of 4 cards each.
Claim: there is always a way to select one card from each pile so that you have one card from each rank.

An application of Hall's Theorem


So we want to show:
For any $S \subseteq X, \quad|S| \leq|N(S)|$.


## Stable matching problem

## 2-Sided Markets

A market with 2 distinct groups of participants each with their own preferences.


## Aspiration: A Good Centeralized System

## What can go wrong?



Formalizing the problem
An instance of the problem can be represented as a complete bipartite graph + preference list of each node.


$$
|X|=|Y|=n
$$

Goal:

## Formalizing the problem

What is a stable matching?

A variant: Roommate problem
A non-bipartite version

| $(c, b, d) \quad a \bullet$ | oc $(b, a, d)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(a, c, d)$ | $b$ | od $(a, c, b)$ |

Does this have a stable matching?

Stable matching: Is there a trivial algorithm?


Trivial algorithm:

## The Gale-Shapley proposal algorithm

While there is a man $\mathbf{m}$ who is not matched:

- Let $w$ be the highest ranked woman in m's list to whom m has not proposed yet.
- If $\mathbf{w}$ is unmatched, or $\mathbf{w}$ prefers $\mathbf{m}$ over her current match:
- Match mand w.
(The previous match of $w$ is now unmatched.)


## Cool, but does it work correctly?

- Does it always terminate?
- Does it always find a stable matching? (Does a stable matching always exist?)


## Gale-Shapley algorithm analysis

## Theorem:

The Gale-Shapley proposal algorithm always terminates with a stable matching after at most $n^{2}$ iterations.

A constructive proof that a stable matching always exists.

## 3 things to show:

## Gale-Shapley algorithm analysis

1. Number of iterations is at most $n^{2}$.

## Gale-Shapley algorithm analysis

2. The algorithm terminates with a perfect matching.

If we don't have a perfect matching:
A man is not matched
$\Longrightarrow$ All women must be matched
$\Longrightarrow$ All men must be matched.
Contradiction

## Gale-Shapley algorithm analysis

2. The algorithm terminates with a perfect matching.

If we don't have a perfect matching:
A man is not matched
$\Longrightarrow$ All women must be matched
$\Longrightarrow$ All men must be matched.
Contradiction

## Gale-Shapley algorithm analysis

3. The matching has no unstable pairs.
"Improvement" Lemma:
(i) A man can only go down in his preference list.
(ii) A woman can only go up in her preference list.

## Unstable pair:

( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{w}$ ) unmatched
but they prefer each other.


## Further questions

## Theorem: <br> The Gale-Shapley proposal algorithm always terminates with a stable matching after at most $n^{2}$ iterations.

Does the order of how we pick men matter?
Would it lead to different matchings?

Is the algorithm "fair"?
Does this algorithm favor men or women or neither?

## Further questions

$\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are valid partners if there is a stable matching in which they are matched.
best $(\mathbf{m})=$ highest ranked valid partner of $\mathbf{m}$

## Theorem:

## Further questions

worst $(w)=$ lowest ranked valid partner of $w$

## Theorem:

## Real-world applications

Variants of the Gale-Shapley algorithm is used for:

- matching medical students and hospitals
- matching students to high schools (e.g. in New York)
- matching students to universities (e.g. in Hungary)
- matching users to servers


## :

The Gale-Shapley Proposal Algorithm (1962)

"for the theory of stable allocations and the practice of market design."

