Turing’s Legacy
What is computation?
What is an algorithm?

How can we mathematically define them?
Quick Recap

Mathematical definition of a (computational) problem:

Input / output function: \( f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \)

Language: \( L = \{ x \in \Sigma^* | f(x) = 1 \} \subseteq \Sigma^* \)

A simple mathematical model for algorithms: DFAs
# Determines if string S is of form $0^n1^n$

```python
def Solution( S ):
    i = 0
    j = len(S)-1
    while j >= i:
        if S[i] != '0' or S[j] != '1':
            return False
        i = i + 1
        j = j - 1
    return True
```
/* Determines if string S is of form 0^n 1^n */
int Solution(char S[])
{
    int i = 0, j;
    while (S[j] != NULL) /* NULL is end-of-string char */
    {
        j++;
        j--;
    }
    while (j >= i)
    {
        if (S[i] != '0' || S[j] != '1')
            return 0; /* Reject */
        i++;
        j--;
    }
    return 1; /* Accept */
}
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Question:
Should we just define “algorithm” to mean a function written in Python?
(allowed access to unlimited memory)

Answer:
Actually, we’ll see that this would be OK!
Downsides as a formal definition:

• Why choose Python?
   Why not C, or Java, or SML, or…?

• Extremely complicated to rigorously define.
  E.g., official 2011 ISO definition of C
  requires a 701-page PDF file!

• A “philosophical” justification would be nice…
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Claim:

Solvable with Python = Solvable with C

Proof intuition:
Our shared experience with programming.

“Proof:”

1. Solvable with Python \(\subseteq\) Solvable with C.
   The standard Python interpreter is written in C.

2. Solvable with C \(\subseteq\) Solvable with Python.
   It’s pretty clear one can write a C interpreter in Python.
A Python function is (representable by) a string.

A Python interpreter is an algorithm $M$ that takes two inputs: $P$, a Python function; $x$, a string; and step-by-step simulates $P(x)$.

In particular, $M(P,x)$ accepts if and only if $P(x)$ accepts.
Interpreters

You can write a **Python** interpreter in **C**.
You can write a **C** interpreter in **Python**.
You can write a **Python** interpreter in **Java**.
You can write a **Java** interpreter in **Python**.
You can write a **Python** interpreter in **SML**.
You can write an **SML** interpreter in **Python**.
You can write a **Python** interpreter in **Python!!**

The last one is called a “Universal Python Program”
Solvable with Python
= Solvable with C
= Solvable with Java
= Solvable with SML

What we want to define to be “computable”.
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Why choose Python? Why not C, or Java, or SML, or…?

• Extremely complicated to rigorously define. E.g., official 2011 ISO definition of C requires a 701-page PDF file!

• A “philosophical” justification would be nice…
It would be nice to have a **totally minimal** ("TM") programming language such that:

a) can simulate Python, C, Java, SML, etc.;

b) is simple enough to reason about rigorously completely mathematically.
Turing Machine™

Inspired by
Turing’s mathematical abstraction of a computer

- A (human) computer writes symbols on paper
- WLOG, the paper is a sequence of squares
- No upper bound on the number of squares
- At most finitely many kinds of symbols
- Human observes one square at a time
- Human has only finitely many mental states
- Human can change symbols and change focus to a neighboring square, but only based on its state and the symbol it observes
- Human acts deterministically
read/write “head” (focus)

Illustration of a TM trying to decide \( \{0^n1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \)

We’ll explain the finite control later
the “finite control”

the “tape alphabet”

in this example is \(\{0,1,#,\sqcup\}\)
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# 0 1 0 # #
The read/write head moves along the tape (paper) and interacts with the input. The "finite control" determines the next action based on the current state and input symbol.
Turing’s mathematical abstraction of a computer

- A (human) computer writes symbols on paper
- WLOG, the paper is a sequence of squares
- No upper bound on the number of squares
- At most finitely many kinds of symbols
- Human observes one square at a time
- Human has only finitely many mental states
- Human can change its state, change symbols, and change focus to a neighboring square, but only based on its state and the symbol it observes
- Human acts deterministically
The **finite control** (aka transition rules)
Formal definition of Turing Machines

A Turing Machine is a 7-tuple $M = (Q, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta)$:

- $Q$ is a finite set of states,
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state,
- $q_{\text{accept}} \in Q$ is the accept state,
- $q_{\text{reject}} \in Q$ is the reject state, $q_{\text{reject}} \neq q_{\text{accept}}$.
- $\Sigma$ is a finite input alphabet (with $\cup \notin \Sigma$),
- $\Gamma$ is a finite tape alphabet (with $\cup \in \Gamma$, $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$),
- $\delta : Q' \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\}$ is the transition function
  (here $Q' = Q \setminus \{q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\}$)
Input alphabet: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Tape alphabet: $\Sigma = \{0, 1, #, \sqcup\}$
Formal definition of Turing Machines

Rules of computation:

Tape starts with input $x \in \Sigma^*$, followed by infinite $\phantom{\text{\textquotesingle}}$'s.
Control starts in state $q_0$, head starts in leftmost square.
If the current state is $q$ and head is reading symbol $s \in \Gamma$,
the machine transitions according to $\delta(q,s)$, which gives:
the next state,
what tape symbol to overwrite the current square with,
and whether the head moves Left or Right.
Technicality: moving left from the leftmost square $\equiv$ staying put.
Continues until either the accept state or reject state reached.
When accept/reject state is reached, $M$ halts.
$M$ might also never halt, in which case we say it loops.
Decidable languages

Definition:

A language \( L \subseteq \Sigma^* \) is **decidable** if there is a Turing Machine \( M \) which:

1. **Halts on every input** \( x \in \Sigma^* \).
2. Accepts inputs \( x \in L \) and rejects inputs \( x \notin L \).

Such a Turing Machine is called a **decider**.

It ‘decides’ the language \( L \).

We like deciders. We don’t like TM’s that sometimes loop.
Computable functions

Definition:

A function \( f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{0,1\} \) is **computable** if \( L = \{ x \in \Sigma^* : f(x) = 1 \} \) is **decidable**

A function \( f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow (\Gamma \setminus \{ \sqcup \})^* \) is **computable** if there is a Turing Machine \( M \) which:

**Halts on every input** \( x \in \Sigma^* \) with the tape containing \( f(x) \) followed by \( \sqcup \)'s.
Decidable languages

Examples:

Hopefully you’re convinced that \( \{0^n1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is decidable. (Recall it’s not “regular”.)

The language \( \{0^{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \{0\}^* \), i.e. \( \{0, 00, 0000, 00000000, \ldots\} \), is decidable.

Proof: I’ll show you a decider TM for it…
fig. by Mike Sipser, using slightly different notation:
Describing Turing Machines

Low Level:
Explicitly describing all states and transitions.

Medium Level:
Carefully describing in English how the TM operates. Should be ‘obvious’ how to translate into a Low Level description.

High Level:
Skips ‘standard’ details, just highlights ‘tricky’ details. For experts only!
\{0^{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is decidable}

Medium Level description:

1. Sweep from left to right across the tape, overwriting a # over top of every other 0.
2. If you saw one 0 on the sweep, accept.
3. If you saw an odd number of 0’s, reject.
4. Move back to the leftmost square.
   (Say you write \$ on the leftmost square at the very beginning so that you can recognize it later.)
5. Go back to step 1.
TM programming exercises & tricks

1. Move right (or left) until first $\sqcup$ encountered.
2. Shift entire input string one cell to the right.
3. Convert input $x_1x_2x_3\cdots x_n$ to $x_1\sqcup x_2\sqcup x_3\sqcup\cdots\sqcup x_n$.
4. Simulate large tape alphabet $\Gamma$ with just $\{0,1,\sqcup\}$.
5. Ability to “mark” cells (e.g., replace symbol $a$ by $\hat{a}$).
6. Copy a stretch of tape between two marked cells into another marked section.
7. Increment or Decrement an input in binary.
8. Implement basic string and arithmetic operations.
9. Simulate a TM with 2 tapes and read/write heads
10. Implement a dictionary data structure
11. Simulate “random access memory”
12. ….
13. Simulate an assembly language interpreter
14. Simulate a C interpreter
15. Create a Turing Machine interpreter or Universal TM, i.e., a Turing Machine $U$ whose input is $\langle M \rangle$, the encoding of a TM M, $x$, a string
   and which simulates the execution of M on $x$. 
Universal Turing Machine

If you get stuck on the last exercise, you can look up the answer in Turing’s 1936 paper!
Solvable with Python
= Solvable with C
= Solvable with Java
= Solvable with SML

Decidable Languages
(decidable by Turing Machines)

Regular Languages
(Solvable with DFAs)
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Church–Turing Thesis:

“Any natural / reasonable notion of computation can be simulated by a TM.”
Describing Turing Machines

Low Level:

Medium Level:

High Level:

Super-high Level:

Just describe an algorithm / pseudocode.

Assuming the Church–Turing Thesis there exists a TM which executes that algorithm.
Definitions:
Turing Machines
Decidable languages/computable functions
Universal TM
Church–Turing Thesis

Theorems/proofs:
\{0^{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} is decidable
\{0^n1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} is decidable
Equivalence of Solvability
(between Python, C, TM)

Practice:
Programming with TM’s