


15-251: Great Theoretical Ideas in Computer Science
Lecture 12

Graph Algorithms



L.F.O.A.

Lecture Full Of Acronyms

The most basic graph algorithms:

BFS: Breadth-first search

DFS: Depth-first search

AFS: Arbitrary-first search

What problems do these algorithms solve?

Graph Search Algorithms

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$...

- Check if vertex s can reach vertex t .
- Decide if G is connected.
- Identify connected components of G .

All reduce to:

“Given $s \in V$, identify all nodes reachable from s .”
(We’ll call this set $\text{CONNCOMP}(s)$.)

Algorithm $\text{AFS}(G, s)$ does exactly this.

Bonus of $AFS(G,s)$:

Finds a **spanning tree** of $CONNCOMP(s)$ rooted at s .


Given $G = (V,E)$, a **spanning tree** is a tree $T = (V,E')$ such that $E' \subseteq E$.

More informally, a minimal set of edges connecting up all vertices of G .

Bonus of $AFS(G,s)$:

Finds a **spanning tree** of $CONNCOMP(s)$ rooted at s .


Given $G = (V,E)$, a **spanning tree** is a tree $T = (V,E')$ such that $E' \subseteq E$.



Bonus of $AFS(G,s)$:

Finds a **spanning tree** of $CONNCOMP(s)$ rooted at s .


Given $G = (V,E)$, a **spanning tree** is a tree $T = (V,E')$ such that $E' \subseteq E$.




Bonus of $AFS(G,s)$:

Finds a **spanning tree** of $CONNCOMP(s)$ rooted at s .

Given $G = (V,E)$, a **spanning tree** is a tree $T = (V,E')$ such that $E' \subseteq E$.



$AFS(G,s)$: Finding all nodes reachable from s



“Duh, it’s these ones.”

But it’s not so obvious when the input looks like...

AFS(G,s): Finding all nodes reachable from **s**

V = { a,b,c,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,x,y,z }

E = { {a,b},{a,c},{b,c},{p,q},{p,x},{q,r},
{q,s},{r,y},{s,u},{s,x},{s,y},{t,u},
{t,x},{u,v},{v,y},{w,x},{y,z} }

AFS(G, s):

// Has a “bag” data structure holding **tiles**

// Each tile has a vertex name written on it

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick an Arbitrary tile **v** from bag

 If **v** is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” **v**

 For each neighbor **w** of **v**:


 Put **w** into bag



Intent:

“Marked” vertices should be those reachable from **s**.

w in bag means we want to keep exploring from **w**.



AFS(G,s):

→ Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





$AFS(G,s):$

Put s into bag

→ While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile v from bag


If v is “unmarked”:

“Mark” v

For each neighbor w of v :

Put w into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

→ Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


→ If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

→ “Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

→ While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

→ Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


→ If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is "unmarked":

"Mark" **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

→ While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

→ Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


→ If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

→ While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

→ Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag



If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


→ If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

Put **s** into bag

→ While bag is not empty:

Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag


If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag





AFS(G,s):

et cetera

Put **s** into bag

While bag is not empty:

→ Pick arbitrary tile **v** from bag

If **v** is “unmarked”:

“Mark” **v**

For each neighbor **w** of **v**:

Put **w** into bag



Analysis of AFS

Want to show: When this algorithm halts,

{ marked vertices }

=

{ vertices reachable from s }.

{ marked } \subseteq { reachable }: This is clear.

{ reachable } \subseteq { marked }:

Wait, why does the algorithm even halt?!

Why does AFS halt?

Every time a bunch of tiles is added to bag,
it's because some vertex v just got marked.

◆ we add at most $|V|$ bunches of tiles to the bag
(since each vertex is marked ≤ 1 time).

◆ at most finitely many
tiles ever go into the bag.

Each iteration through
loop removes 1 tile.

◆ AFS halts after finitely
many iterations.

AFS(G, s):

Put s into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick arbitrary tile v from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 → For each neighbor w of v :

 Put w into bag

A more careful analysis

Every time a bunch of tiles is added to bag,
it's because some vertex v just got marked.

In this case, we add $\text{deg}(v)$ tiles to the bag.

◆ total number of tiles that ever enter the bag is

$$\leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{deg}(v) = 2|E|$$

Each iteration through
loop removes 1 tile.

◆ AFS halts after finitely
many iterations.

AFS(G, s):

Put s into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick arbitrary tile v from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 → For each neighbor w of v :

 Put w into bag

A more careful analysis

Every time a bunch of tiles is added to bag,
it's because some vertex v just got marked.

In this case, we add $\text{deg}(v)$ tiles to the bag.

◆ total number of tiles that ever enter the bag is

$$\leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{deg}(v) = 2|E|$$

Each iteration through
loop removes 1 tile.

◆ AFS halts after $\leq 2|E|$
many iterations.

AFS(G, s):

Put s into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick arbitrary tile v from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 → For each neighbor w of v :

 Put w into bag

A more careful analysis

Every time a bunch of tiles is added to bag,
it's because some vertex v just got marked.

In this case, we add $\text{deg}(v)$ tiles to the bag.

◆ total number of tiles that ever enter the bag is

$$\leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{deg}(v) = 2|E|$$

Each iteration we forgot about
loop re this line

◆ AFS halts after $\leq 2|E|+1$
many iterations.

AFS(G,s):

Put s into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick arbitrary tile v from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 → For each neighbor w of v :

 Put w into bag

When a tile \boxed{w} is added to the bag, it gets there “because of” a neighbor v that was just marked.

(Except for the initial \boxed{s} .)

Let’s actually record this info on the tile, writing $\boxed{v \rightarrow w}$.

Meaning: “We want to keep exploring from w .
By the way, we got to w from v .”

(And we’ll write $\boxed{\perp \rightarrow s}$ initially.)

AFS(G, s):

Put s into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick an Arbitrary tile v from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 For each neighbor w of v :

 Put w into bag

AFS(G, s):

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick an Arbitrary tile $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v

 For each neighbor w of v :

 Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

AFS(G, s):

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:



 Pick an Arbitrary tile $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v and record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

 For each neighbor w of v :

 Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag



AFS(G, s):

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:



Pick an Arbitrary tile $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

If v is “unmarked”:

“Mark” v and record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

For each neighbor w of v :



Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag



Suppose the next few tiles pulled are

$6 \rightarrow 2$, $6 \rightarrow 5$, $7 \rightarrow 3$.

Then AFS would reach the following state...





Suppose the next few tiles pulled are

$6 \rightarrow 2$, $6 \rightarrow 5$, $7 \rightarrow 3$.

Then AFS would reach the following state...

Then remaining tiles would be pulled & discarded.



AFS(G, s):

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick an Arbitrary tile $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v and record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

 For each neighbor w of v :

 Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

Theorem: Every vertex in $\text{CONNCOMP}(s)$ gets marked.

Theorem: Every vertex in $\text{CONNCOMP}(s)$ gets marked.

Equivalently: For all vertices y , if there's a path from s to y of length k , then y gets marked.

Proof: By induction on k .

Base case $k = 0$: Indeed, s gets marked.

Induction step: Suppose it's true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now suppose \exists a length- $(k+1)$ path from s to some y .

Write it as (s, \dots, x, y) . So (s, \dots, x) is a length- k path.

By induction, x gets marked.

When x gets marked by the algorithm, $x \rightarrow y$ goes in bag.

We proved the bag eventually empties.

Thus $x \rightarrow y$ will come out, and the algorithm will mark y .



So we've proved $AFS(G,s)$ indeed marks $CONNCOMP(s)$.

From now on, let's assume $CONNCOMP(s)$ is all of G .

Corollary: The $parent()$ information recorded by AFS encodes a **spanning tree** of G rooted at s .

Proof:

It certainly records a bunch of edges.

Each vertex in G , except s , has exactly one parent edge.

Thus there are $|V|-1$ edges.

Further, it's clear that for all vertices v ,

$parent(parent(\dots parent(v)\dots))$ must reach s .

- ◆ all vertices are connected to s , hence to each other.
- ◆ parent edges form a tree ($|V|-1$ edges, connected).




Instantiations of AFS

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)




(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)




(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)




(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)




(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)




(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

DFS is cute because many programming languages allow *recursion*, which means the compiler takes care of implementing the stack for you!



(actually implemented using an array)

DFS: Depth-First Search

When the bag is a “**stack**”.

LIFO: Last-In First-Out.

```
RecursiveDFS(v)  
  if v unmarked  
    mark v  
    for each  $w \in N(v)$   
      RecursiveDFS(w)
```




(actually implemented
using an array)

BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search


When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

(Assume sorted adjacency list representation.)



(usually implemented using a linked list)




BFS: Breadth-First Search

When the bag is a “**queue**”.

FIFO: First-In First-Out.

BFS bonus property:

Vertices marked in increasing order of distance from **s**.



(usually implemented using a linked list)


BFS(G,s)

...

parent(v) := p

dist(v) := dist(parent(v))+1

...



BFS: Breadth-First Search

When the bag is a “**queue**”.


FIFO: First-In First-Out.

BFS bonus property:


Vertices marked in increasing order of distance from **s**.

Exercise: Prove this.

So path from **s** to any **v** in BFS tree is a **shortest path**.



(usually implemented using a linked list)



BFS & DFS: Running time

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

 Pick an Arbitrary tile $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

 If v is “unmarked”:

 “Mark” v and record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

 For each neighbor w of v :

 Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

Recall: # of tiles put in bag is $\leq 2|E|+1$.

Actually, exactly $2|E|+1$, assuming G connected.

Bag operations are $O(1)$ time for stack/queue.

Each tile engenders $O(1)$ work.

◆ Total run-time: $O(|E|)$.

BFS & DFS: Running time

$AFS(G,s)$ just finds the connected component of s .

What if we want to find all connected components?

FullAFS(G):

For all vertices v :

If v is unmarked

$AFS(G,v)$

Overall run-time: $O(|V|+|E|)$ (Why?)

We have seen AFS, BFS, DFS

Looks like we're missing something...

CFS! Cheapest-First Search

The goal of CFS is more ambitious than just finding connected components.

Its goal is to find a **minimum spanning tree (MST)**.


Weighted Graphs

Often in life, each edge of a graph $G = (V, E)$ will have a real number associated to it.

Variously called:

weight
length
distance
or **cost**.

“Cost function”, $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$



Positive values only, unless otherwise specified.

MST

The year: 1926

The place: Brno, Moravia

Our hero: **Otakar Borůvka**



Borůvka's had a pal called Jindřich Saxel who worked for *Západomoravské elektrárny* (the West Moravian Power Plant company).


Saxel asked him how to figure out the most efficient way to electrify southwest Moravia.

MST



Edge exists if it's feasible to connect two towns by power lines.

Edge weights might be distance in km, or cost in 1000's of koruna to install lines.



MST

Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) problem:

Input: A weighted graph $G = (V, E)$,
with cost function $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.


Output: Subset of edges of minimum total cost
such that all vertices connected.

The edges will form a tree:

If you had a cycle, you could delete any edge
on it and still be connected, but cheaper.

MST

Example:



In this case, there's a unique solution,
of cost $5+2+3+12+16+4=42$.

MST

Convenient assumption: Edges have **distinct costs**.

In this case, not hard to show the MST is **unique**.

Thus we can speak of **the** MST, not just **an** MST.

A hint for the little trick
that shows this is WLOG:




“Whether [the] distance from
Brno to Břeclav is 50 km
or 50 km and 1 cm
is a matter of conjecture.”

MST via Cheapest-First Search

Often known as **Prim's Algorithm**,
due to a 1957 publication by
Robert C. Prim.

Actually first discovered by
Vojtěch Jarník, who described it
in a letter to Borůvka, and
published it in 1930.



Jarník

Borůvka himself had published a
different algorithm in 1926.

MST via Cheapest-First Search

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick an Arbitrary edge $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

If v is “unmarked”:

“Mark” v , record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

For each neighbor w of v :

Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

MST via Cheapest-First Search

JARNÍK-PRIM(G): Let s be any vertex

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick the **cheapest** edge $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

If v is “unmarked”:

“Mark” v , record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

For each neighbor w of v :

Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

Naive

implementation: Unsorted list.

$O(|E|)$ time to scan for cheapest edge.

$O(|E|^2)$ total run-time.

MST via Cheapest-First Search

JARNÍK-PRIM(G): Let s be any vertex

Put $\perp \rightarrow s$ into bag

While bag is not empty:

Pick the **cheapest** edge $p \rightarrow v$ from bag

If v is “unmarked”:

“Mark” v , record $\text{parent}(v) := p$

For each neighbor w of v :

Put $v \rightarrow w$ into bag

Sophisticated

implementation: “Priority Queue”.


$O(\log |E|)$ time for both bag operations.

$O(|E| \log |E|)$ total run-time.

MST via Cheapest-First Search

Effectively: CFS grows a tree from **s**, always adding the cheapest edge next.

Example:



MST via Cheapest-First Search

Theorem: JARNÍK–PRIM finds the MST.

MST via Cheapest-First Search

Theorem: For each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the first k edges added are all in the MST.

Proof: By induction on k .


Base case $k=0$: Vacuously true.

Induction step: Suppose CFS has added k edges so far ($0 \leq k < n-1$), and all are in MST.

We need to show next added edge is also in MST.

MST via Cheapest-First Search

Let S be the set of vertices connected to s so far,



MST via Cheapest-First Search


Let S be the set of vertices connected to s so far, and let $e = \{v, w\}$ be next edge added by CFS.

(By definition of CFS, e is the cheapest edge out of S .)

Let T be the MST for G .

AFSOC that $e \notin T$.

Since T spans G , must exist a path from v to w in T .



MST via Cheapest-First Search

Let S be the set of vertices connected to s so far, and let $e = \{v, w\}$ be next edge added by CFS.


(By definition of CFS, e is the cheapest edge out of S .)

Let T be the MST for G .

AFSOC that $e \notin T$.

Since T spans G , must exist a path from v to w in T .

Let $e' = \{v', w'\}$ be first edge on that path which exits S .



MST via Cheapest-First Search

Let S be the set of vertices connected to s so far, and let $e = \{v, w\}$ be next edge added by CFS.


(By definition of CFS, e is the cheapest edge out of S .)

Let T be the MST for G .

AFSOC that $e \notin T$.

Since T spans G , must exist a path from v to w in T .

Let $e' = \{v', w'\}$ be first edge on that path which exits S .




MST via Cheapest-First Search

Claim: $T' := T - e' \cup \{e\}$ is a spanning tree.

If true, we have a contradiction because $\text{cost}(e') > \text{cost}(e)$ (why?) and so $\text{cost}(T') > \text{cost}(T)$.

T' has $|V|-1$ edges, so we just need to check it's still connected.

Any walk in T formerly using $e' = \{v, w\}$ can now take path from v' to v , then take e , then take path from w to w' .



Look carefully at our proof that $e \in \text{MST}$.

We didn't actually use the fact that
the edges inside S were part of the MST.

All we used: e was the cheapest edge out of S .

Thus we more generally proved...

MST Cut Property:

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a graph with distinct edge costs.

Let $S \subseteq V$ (with $S \neq \emptyset, S \neq V$).

Let $e \in E$ be the cheapest edge with
one endpoint in S and the other not in S .

Then a minimum spanning tree **must** contain e .

MST Cut Property

Using this, it's not hard to show that practically any natural "greedy" MST algorithm works.

Kruskal's Algorithm:

Go through edges in order of cheapness.

Add edge as long as it doesn't make a cycle.

Borůvka's Algorithm:

Start with each vertex a connected component.

Repeatedly: add the cheapest edge coming out of each connected component.

Run-time Race for MST

(an amusing story)

The “classical” (pre-1960) MST algorithms, Borůvka, Jarník–Prim, Kruskal, all run in time $O(m \log m)$.

That is very good.

In practice, these algorithms are great.

Nevertheless, algorithms & data structures wizards tried to do better.

Run-time Race for MST

1984: Fredman & Tarjan invent the “Fibonacci heap” data structure.

Run-time improved from $O(m \log(m))$
to $O(m \log^*(m))$.

Remember $\log^*(m)$?


It is the number of times you need to take \log to get down to 2.

For all real-world purposes, $\log^*(m) \leq 5$.

Run-time Race for MST

1984: Fredman & Tarjan invent the “Fibonacci heap” data structure.

Run-time improved from $O(m \log(m))$ to $O(m \log^*(m))$.



Also not Fredman

Not Fredman

Tarjan

Run-time Race for MST


1986: Gabow, Galil, T. Spencer, Tarjan improved the algorithm.

Run-time improved from $O(m \log^*(m))$ to...
 $O(m \log (\log^*(m)))$.

Run-time Race for MST

1986: Gabow, Galil, T. Spencer, Tarjan improved the algorithm.

Run-time improved from $O(m \log^*(m))$ to...
 $O(m \log (\log^*(m)))$.



Gabow



Galil



Tarjan & Not-Spencer

Run-time Race for MST

1997: Chazelle invents “soft heap” data structure.

Run-time improved from $O(m \log(\log^*(m)))$ to...
 $O(m \alpha(m) \log(\alpha(m)))$.

I will tell you what function $\alpha(m)$ is in a second.
I assure you, it's comically slow-growing.



Chazelle

Run-time Race for MST

2000: Chazelle improves it down to $O(m \alpha(m))$.

$\alpha(m)$ is called the **Inverse-Ackermann** function.

$\log^*(m)$ = # of times you need to do **log** to get down to 2

$\log^{**}(m)$ = # of times you need to do **log*** to get down to 2

$\log^{***}(m)$ = # of times you need to do **log**** to get down to 2

...

$\alpha(m)$ = # of *****'s you need so that $\log^{***\dots***}(m) \leq 2$

It's incomprehensibly, preposterously slow-growing!

Run-time Race for MST


1995: Meanwhile, Karger, Klein, and Tarjan give an algorithm with run-time $O(m)$.

It's a **randomized** algorithm:


$O(m)$ is the expected value of the running time.



Karger



Klein



Tarjan

Run-time Race for MST

2002: Pettie and Ramachandran gave a new **deterministic** MST algorithm.

They proved its running time is $O(\mathbf{optimal})$.



Pettie



Ramachandran

Run-time Race for MST

2002: Pettie and Ramachandran gave a new **deterministic** MST algorithm.

They proved its running time is $O(\mathbf{optimal})$.

Would you like to know its running time?

So would we.

Its running time is **unknown**.

All we know is: whatever it is, it's optimal.

Study Guide

Definition:

Minimum Spanning Tree

Algorithms and analysis:

AFS

BFS

DFS

CFS (Jarník–Prim algorithm)

