## **Recitation 7**

## **Match These Definitions**

- A matching in G is a subset of G's edges which share no vertices.
  A maximal matching is one which isn't a subset of any other matching.
  A maximum matching is a matching which is at least as large as any possible matching.
  A perfect matching is a matching such that every vertex is contained in one of its edges.
- An alternating path (with respect to some matching M) is one which alternates between edges in M and edges not in M.

An **augmenting path** is an alternating path which begins and ends with vertices not matched in M.

## Counting Colors 1, 2, 3, ...

Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . A *k*-coloring of V is just a map  $\chi : V \to C$  where C is a set of cardinality k. (Usually the elements of C are called *colors*. If k = 3 then {red, green, blue} is a popular choice. If k is large, we often just call the colors  $1, 2, \ldots, k$ .) A *k*-coloring is said to be *legal* for G if every edge in E is *bichromatic*, meaning that its two endpoints have different colors. (I.e., for all  $\{u, v\} \in E$  it is required that  $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$ .) Finally, we say that G is *k*-colorable if it has a legal *k*-coloring.

- (a) Suppose G has no cycles of length greater than 251. Prove that G is 251-colorable. Hint: DFS.
- (b) Give an example to show that the above is tight, i.e., find a graph G with no cycles of length greater than 251 that is not 250-colorable.

## From Colors to Covers

A vertex cover in a graph G = (V, E) is a subset  $U \subseteq V$  such that every edge  $e \in E$  has at least one of its endpoints in U. We say that a vertex cover is a minimum vertex cover in G if it has the smallest size among all vertex covers in G. Let p(G) denote the size of a minimum vertex cover in G.

Recall that a maximum matching in G is a matching with the largest size among all matchings in G (the size of a matching is the number of edges in the matching). Let m(G) denote the size of a maximum matching in G.

In this problem, we will prove that p(G) = m(G) in bipartite graphs G.

- (a) Let G be any graph (not necessarily bipartite). Prove that for any vertex cover U and any matching M in G,  $|U| \ge |M|$ . (Note that this implies  $p(G) \ge m(G)$ .)
- (b) Construct a graph G where the size of every vertex cover in G is strictly larger than the size of a maximum matching in G (i.e., construct G such that p(G) > m(G)). Is your graph G bipartite?
- (c) It turns out that in bipartite graphs, p(G) = m(G). By part (a) above, to prove this, you only need to show  $p(G) \le m(G)$  in bipartite graphs. And in order to show this, you can argue that if M is a maximum matching, then one can find a vertex cover  $U^*$  such that  $|U^*| \le |M|$ .

Fix your bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E) and a maximum matching M in G.

Prove that if M matches every vertex in X, then there is a vertex cover of size |M|. So in this case, we can conclude p(G) = m(G).

(d) In this part, we assume M does not match every vertex in X. Let  $S \subseteq X$  be the vertices in X unmatched by M. We turn the original graph into a directed graph as follows. Direct the edges of M from Y to X and the remaining unmatched edges from X to Y. Let  $D \subseteq X \cup Y$  be all the vertices reachable by a directed path starting from a vertex in S (note that  $S \subseteq D$ ). This construction is very similar to the one in the proof of Hall's theorem.

Prove that  $U^* = (X \setminus D) \cup (Y \cap D)$  is a vertex cover in G.

(e) Show that  $|U^*| \le |M|$  by arguing that every vertex of  $U^*$  is matched in M by a distinct edge of M. Conclude that p(G) = m(G) in bipartite graphs.