## 15-251: Great Theoretical Ideas In Computer Science

## Recitation 7

## Match These Definitions

- A matching in $G$ is a subset of $G$ 's edges which share no vertices.

A maximal matching is one which isn't a subset of any other matching.
A maximum matching is a matching which is at least as large as any possible matching.
A perfect matching is a matching such that every vertex is contained in one of its edges.

- An alternating path (with respect to some matching $M$ ) is one which alternates between edges in $M$ and edges not in $M$.
An augmenting path is an alternating path which begins and ends with vertices not matched in $M$.

Counting Colors 1, 2, 3, ...
Let $G=(V, E)$ be an undirected graph. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. A $k$-coloring of $V$ is just a map $\chi: V \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a set of cardinality $k$. (Usually the elements of $C$ are called colors. If $k=3$ then \{red, green, blue\} is a popular choice. If $k$ is large, we often just call the colors $1,2, \ldots, k$.) A $k$-coloring is said to be legal for $G$ if every edge in $E$ is bichromatic, meaning that its two endpoints have different colors. (l.e., for all $\{u, v\} \in E$ it is required that $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$.) Finally, we say that $G$ is $k$-colorable if it has a legal $k$-coloring.
(a) Suppose $G$ has no cycles of length greater than 251. Prove that $G$ is 251 -colorable. Hint: DFS.
(b) Give an example to show that the above is tight, i.e., find a graph $G$ with no cycles of length greater than 251 that is not 250-colorable.

## From Colors to Covers

A vertex cover in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset $U \subseteq V$ such that every edge $e \in E$ has at least one of its endpoints in $U$. We say that a vertex cover is a minimum vertex cover in $G$ if it has the smallest size among all vertex covers in $G$. Let $p(G)$ denote the size of a minimum vertex cover in $G$.
Recall that a maximum matching in $G$ is a matching with the largest size among all matchings in $G$ (the size of a matching is the number of edges in the matching). Let $m(G)$ denote the size of a maximum matching in $G$.

In this problem, we will prove that $p(G)=m(G)$ in bipartite graphs $G$.
(a) Let $G$ be any graph (not necessarily bipartite). Prove that for any vertex cover $U$ and any matching $M$ in $G,|U| \geq|M|$. (Note that this implies $p(G) \geq m(G)$. )
(b) Construct a graph $G$ where the size of every vertex cover in $G$ is strictly larger than the size of a maximum matching in $G$ (i.e., construct $G$ such that $p(G)>m(G)$ ). Is your graph $G$ bipartite?
(c) It turns out that in bipartite graphs, $p(G)=m(G)$. By part (a) above, to prove this, you only need to show $p(G) \leq m(G)$ in bipartite graphs. And in order to show this, you can argue that if $M$ is a maximum matching, then one can find a vertex cover $U^{*}$ such that $\left|U^{*}\right| \leq|M|$.

Fix your bipartite graph $G=(X, Y, E)$ and a maximum matching $M$ in $G$.
Prove that if $M$ matches every vertex in $X$, then there is a vertex cover of size $|M|$. So in this case, we can conclude $p(G)=m(G)$.
(d) In this part, we assume $M$ does not match every vertex in $X$. Let $S \subseteq X$ be the vertices in $X$ unmatched by $M$. We turn the original graph into a directed graph as follows. Direct the edges of $M$ from $Y$ to $X$ and the remaining unmatched edges from $X$ to $Y$. Let $D \subseteq X \cup Y$ be all the vertices reachable by a directed path starting from a vertex in $S$ (note that $S \subseteq D$ ). This construction is very similar to the one in the proof of Hall's theorem.
Prove that $U^{*}=(X \backslash D) \cup(Y \cap D)$ is a vertex cover in $G$.
(e) Show that $\left|U^{*}\right| \leq|M|$ by arguing that every vertex of $U^{*}$ is matched in $M$ by a distinct edge of $M$. Conclude that $p(G)=m(G)$ in bipartite graphs.

